

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,479	08/14/2006	William Veronesi	60469-092PUS1;PA-000.0519	
64779 CARLSON G	7590 04/23/200 ASKEY & OLDS	8	EXAMINER	
400 W MAPL	E STE 350		WEST, JEFFREY R	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,479	VERONESI ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEFFREY R. WEST	2857			

	JEFFREY R. WEST	2857					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar i, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period avoid under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pr	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	·····,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the company of the compa		be entered and an e	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/Jeffrey R. West/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2857					

Applicant argues:

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103 of claims 16 and 20. Both rejections rely upon the Barrett, et al. reference. The Examiner contends that the Barrett, et al. reference discloses "determining a rate of degradation of an individual tension member for a selected load by monitoring how the degradation varies over time based on how much of the selected load is carried by each tension member," (See, e.g., page 3 of the Office Action), Applicant respectfully disagrees.

The only statement in the Barrett, et al. reference regarding degradation is found in column 3, lines 10-16. Specifically, the Barrett, et al. reference states. By measuring the load in each tension member 28, individually, any stretching of [sic, or] degradation of the tension members 28 can also be sensed as the load carried by each tension member 28 varies over time." There is nothing in that statement that indicates any determination of a rate of degradation. There is only memition of sensing degradation. Determining a rate of degradation is a different thing than sensing degradation, and in the Barrett, et al. reference is described as varying over time. It does not describe "how the degradation varies over time" as suggested by the Examiner in the Office Action. There is a distinction between a load varying over time and degradation varies over time.

The Examiner disagrees and instead asserts that the term "rate" refers to a quantity measured with respect to another measured quantity. In the Barrett reference, the degradation is measured with respect to the measured load and therefore the measured degradation is a rate of degradation.

Additionally, since the degradation is measured with respect to the measured load and since the load is measured with respect to time, one having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the degradation is measured with respect to time.

For these reasons, the Examiner maintains that Barrett teaches an elevator load weighting device including means for positioning a selected load on a plurality of tension members (column 2, lines 1-6 and column 3, lines 4-9) and determining a rate of degradation of an individual tension member for a selected load by monitoring how the degradation varies over time based on how much of the selected load is carried by each tension member (column 3, lines 10-16).

/JRW/